

Superconnections and Matter

G. Roepstorff

Institute for Theoretical Physics

RWTH Aachen

D-52062 Aachen, Germany

email: roep@physik.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract. In a previous paper, the superconnection formalism was used to fit the Higgs field into a $U(n)$ gauge theory with particular emphasis on the $n = 2$ case, aiming at the reconstruction of certain parts of the Standard Model. The approach was meant as an alternative to the one that relies on non-commutative geometry. We continue now this work by including matter fields (leptons) and argue in favor of a new ingredient, the right-handed neutrino field. It turns out that the familiar electro-weak interactions appear naturally, provided the action $\int d\tau \bar{\Psi} i \not{D} \Psi$ is formed with an Dirac operator $\not{D}$ associated to a superconnection $D + L$ on some twisted spinor bundle.

1 Introduction

We resume the investigation and reconstruction of the Standard Model begun in [1] where we concentrated on the bosonic sector. We briefly review the setup and the notation. The Euclidean spacetime M is looked upon as some oriented Riemannian manifold of arbitrary dimension. For the purpose of this paper, however, the dimension has to be even. We let Γ denote the algebra of smooth complex functions on M . If B is some complex vector bundle over M , we write $\Gamma(B)$ for the Γ -module of smooth sections $s : M \rightarrow B$. An operator A on $\Gamma(B)$ is said to be *local* if it commutes with the action of Γ , i.e., $[A, f] = 0$ for $f \in \Gamma$. Typically, a differential operator is nonlocal.

Supposing that there be given a gauge connection d_A on a complex Hermitian vector bundle V of rank n with structure group $G \subset U(n)$, we may locally write $d_A = d + A$ with A the connection one-form or gauge potential. The key idea is to pass to the induced connection D on the exterior algebra $\wedge V$. The reason is: the structure of $\wedge V$ as a superbundle allows to extend D to a superconnection $D + L$ involving the Higgs field L which is thought of as some local operator of odd type,

$$L : \Gamma(\wedge^\pm V) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^\mp V), \quad (1)$$

satisfying $L = -L^*$.

In the present paper, we shall be concerned primarily with connections and superconnections on twisted spinor bundles. We shall introduce these notions in Sections 4

and 5 before we explain the concept of generalized Dirac operators in Section 6. This will allow us to incorporate fermions into a gauge theory and to study their interaction with the gauge potential A and the Higgs field L simultaneously. The reader should be warned. Some mathematical aspects — mainly of interest to the geometer — are left out and conditions are not listed if they play no role in our approach.

What really counts, in our view and in the present approach, is the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading (or chirality) of the spinor bundle S , provided the dimension of M is even. We also rely on the fact that $\wedge V$ is a superbundle which makes the twisted spinor bundle $E = S \otimes \wedge V$ a superbundle as well. In recent years, the very notion of superbundles has transformed our understanding of what should be termed a connection or a Dirac operator. Superbundles ultimately provide the right structure needed for the concept of a superconnection. Because of its intrinsic beauty, the physical significance should not come here as a surprise.

For the color-neutral sector of the Standard Model, the subject of this paper, we take $G = U(2)$ as the relevant gauge group, choose some principal G -bundle P , and construct the associated G -bundle $V = P \times_G \mathbb{C}^2$ with typical fiber $\mathbb{C}^2$ carrying the fundamental representation of $U(2)$. Below, we shall refer to

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

as the canonical basis in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Having passed to the exterior algebra

$$\wedge V = P \times_G \wedge \mathbb{C}^2.$$

we may represent the Higgs field L as a 4×4 matrix

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\Phi^* \\ i\Phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 & \Phi_3 \\ \Phi_2 & \Phi_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

with respect to the induced basis e_I in $\wedge \mathbb{C}^2$, the index I running over the subsets of $\{1, 2\}$ including the empty set $\emptyset$. Assume that basis vectors¹ are arranged in the order $e_\emptyset, e_{12}, e_1, e_2$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_3 \\ \Phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

transform as doublets under the gauge group with opposite hypercharges.

2 The Minimal Model

The model we propose is minimal in several ways:

- Nature is assumed to provide essentially one Higgs doublet

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

¹Here, $e_\emptyset$ and $e_{12} = e_1 \wedge e_2$ stand for the units in $\wedge^0 \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}$ and $\wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ respectively.

transforming according to the fundamental representation of $U(2)$, to which all four components of Φ are linearly related:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_1\phi_1 & -h_2\bar{\phi}_2 \\ \bar{h}_1\phi_2 & h_2\bar{\phi}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (h_i \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (3)$$

- The Euclidean bosonic action is²

$$S_B = g^{-2} \|\mathbb{F} + \mu^2\|^2 = g^{-2} \int d\tau |\mathbb{F} + \mu^2|^2 \quad (4)$$

with $\mathbb{F} = (D + L)^2$ the generalized curvature, g the universal gauge coupling, and $\mu > 0$ setting the mass scale.

There is no need to introduce g at other places than in front of the action. However, to facilitate comparison with the Standard Model and to remove g from the kinetic terms of the action functional we rescale the gauge potential A and the Higgs field L , thus giving trilinear interaction terms an extra factor g and quartic terms a factor g^2 . After that we absorb g in the basis $-it_a$ of the Lie algebra and in the parameters h_i of the Higgs field to preserve the relations $d_A = d + A$ and $\mathbb{D} = D + L$.

Note the decomposition

$$|\mathbb{F} + \mu^2|^2 = |F|^2 + |DL|^2 + |L^2 + \mu^2|^2$$

(details in [1]) where $F = D^2$ is the curvature of the gauge connection D and DL the covariant derivative of the Higgs field L .

The constants h_i are treated as free parameters proportional to g and subject to the normalization condition

$$|h_1|^2 + |h_2|^2 = \frac{1}{2}g^2 \quad (5)$$

which guarantees the correct behavior of the kinetic term, i.e.

$$g^{-2}|dL|^2 = g^{-2}\text{Tr } dL^*dL = (\partial_\mu\phi)^*(\partial^\mu\phi).$$

The structure of L has relation to the CAR algebra

$$\{b_i, b_k^*\} = \delta_{ik}, \quad \{b_i, b_k\} = \{b_i^*, b_k^*\} = 0 \quad (i, k = 1, 2) \quad (6)$$

formed by the operators $b_i e_I = e_i \wedge e_I$ and their adjoints. Indeed,

$$b_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus

$$L = ih^*(\phi_1 b_1 + \phi_2 b_2) + i(\phi_1 b_1 + \phi_2 b_2)^* h \quad (7)$$

²In [1] we used $\mu^2 C$ in place of μ^2 where C was some constant gauge invariant operator. However, since we are working with one Higgs doublet only, there is no loss in generality if we put $C = \mathbb{1}$ in the present situation.

with h some constant gauge invariant operator on $\Lambda\mathbb{C}^2$ (in fact the most general one):

$$h = \text{diag}(h_0, h_2, h_1, h_1).$$

Like any gauge invariant operator, h may be regarded a function of

$$q = b_1 b_1^* + b_2 b_2^* = \text{diag}(0, 2, 1, 1).$$

Since the value of h_0 does not enter the expression (7) for L , we may take $h_0 = 0$.

The ansatz (4) gives rise to a quartic Higgs potential:

$$V(\phi) = g^{-2}|L^2 + \mu^2|^2 = g^{-2}\text{Tr}(L^2 + \mu^2)^2 = V_0 + \frac{\lambda}{4}(\phi^* \phi - r)^2. \quad (8)$$

Apart from the irrelevant constant V_0 , the two other constants are given by

$$\lambda g^2 = 8(|h_1|^4 + |h_2|^4), \quad \lambda r = 4\mu^2. \quad (9)$$

Note in particular that both λ and r are positive. Moreover,

$$g^2 \leq \lambda \leq 2g^2 \quad (10)$$

in view of (5). The Higgs potential predicts a breakdown of symmetry, leaving a residual $U(1)$ gauge symmetry of electromagnetism. Apart from loop corrections the ground state is characterized by $\phi^* \phi = r$, and choosing the unitary gauge, we are finally left with

$$\phi_1 = 2^{-1/2}\varphi + r^{1/2}, \quad \phi_2 = 0$$

where φ is the observable neutral scalar Higgs field. By expanding the Higgs potential to second order we extract its mass:

$$V(\phi) = V_0 + \frac{1}{2}m_H^2\varphi^2 + O(\varphi^3), \quad m_H = 2\mu. \quad (11)$$

We refer to the operator

$$L_c = ir^{1/2}(h^* b_1 + b_1^* h) \quad (12)$$

as the ‘condensate’ and also write $r = v^2/2$ where v is, by convention, the symmetry breaking parameter of the Standard Model.

3 Masses of the Vector Bosons and the Higgs Particle

The analysis in [1] has shown that there exists a basis $-it_a$ ($a = 1, \dots, 4$) in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ adapted to the representation on $\Lambda\mathbb{C}^2$ in the following sense. If T_a are the generators representing t_a on $\Lambda\mathbb{C}^2$, then

$$\text{Tr } T_a T_b = \frac{1}{2}g^2\delta_{ab}.$$

Such a basis is given by

$$t_a = \frac{1}{2}g\sigma_a \quad (a = 1, 2, 3), \quad t_4 = -\frac{1}{2}g\sqrt{1/3}\mathbb{1}_2$$

where the σ_a denote the Pauli matrices. Then the mass matrix³ m^2 of the four vector bosons has matrix elements

$$m_{ab}^2 = 2g^{-2}\text{Tr}[T_a, L_c][T_b, L_c] \quad (a, b = 1, \dots, 4) \quad (13)$$

dependent on the condensate L_c . When using the representation $T_a = t_a^{ik}b_i b_k^*$ together with (12) and (6) we observe an important fact: the trace on the left of Eq. (13) is proportional to

$$\text{Tr} q h h^* = 2(|h_1|^2 + |h_2|^2)$$

and hence, by virtue of the condition (5), the mass matrix of the vector bosons does not depend on our choice of h . In fact, we are left with a simple expression:

$$m_{ab}^2 = r\{t_a, t_b\}^{11}.$$

Here $\{\cdot\}^{11}$ means that we have projected the 2×2 matrix $\{t_a, t_b\}$ onto its 11-component. Written out we get

$$m^2 = \frac{1}{2}g^2r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\sqrt{1/3} \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{1/3} & 1/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix provide the masses of the four vector bosons:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mass squared of the } W^\pm & : m_W^2 = rg^2/2 \\ \text{mass squared of the } Z^0 & : m_Z^2 = 2rg^2/3 \\ \text{mass squared of the } \gamma & : 0 \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalue $rg^2/2$, when identified with m_W^2 , together with (9) and (11) leads to an expression for the Higgs coupling constant,

$$\lambda = \frac{g^2 m_H^2}{2m_W^2}$$

and with (10) to inequalities for the Higgs mass:

$$\sqrt{2}m_W \leq m_H \leq 2m_W. \quad (14)$$

The eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $2rg^2/3$ and 0 are written in terms of the Weinberg angle θ_W :

$$\begin{aligned} t_z &= \cos \theta_W t_3 - \sin \theta_W t_4, & \cos \theta_W &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} \\ t_0 &= \sin \theta_W t_3 + \cos \theta_W t_4, & \sin \theta_W &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The change of basis leads to the photon field $A_\mu^0(x)$ and the field $Z_\mu(x)$ of the Z particle such that $Zt_z + A^0t_4 = A^3t_3 + A^4t_4$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \cos \theta_W A^3 - \sin \theta_W A^4 \\ A^0 &= \sin \theta_W A^3 + \cos \theta_W A^4. \end{aligned}$$

³A matrix whose eigenvalues are the masses squared

It is gratifying to see that these results coincide with our analysis in [1], except for the lack of a definite prediction of the Higgs mass, though the value $m_H = 2m_W$, which previous reasoning has led us to, is within reach. It marks the upper limit of (10) obtained if either $h_1 = 0$ or $h_2 = 0$. As we shall see, $h_1 = 0$ corresponds to the assertion that the Higgs mechanism gives zero mass to the neutrino. Even if it turns out that the neutrino has non-zero mass, the relation $m_H = 2m_W$ remains valid to great accuracy.

4 Spinor Bundles and Their Duals

Let M be an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension $2m$ and $C(M)$ be its Clifford bundle. Recall that the bundle $C(M)$ has the complexified Clifford algebra $C(T_x^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ as fibre at $x \in M$ and that the real Clifford algebra $C(V)$ associated to any real Hermitian vector space V is characterized (up to isomorphisms) by the following universal property: any linear map $c : V \rightarrow A$ satisfying $c(v)^2 + (v, v) = 0$, where A is an associative algebra with unit, can be extended to an algebraic homomorphism $c : C(V) \rightarrow A$. Note that the Clifford algebra $C(M)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded with grading automorphism $v \mapsto -v$. Details may be found in Refs. [2] and [3].

A *left Clifford module* on M is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded complex vector bundle S with a left action of $C(M)$ respecting the grading, i.e.,

$$C^+(M) \cdot S^\pm \subset S^\pm, \quad C^-(M) \cdot S^\pm \subset S^\mp$$

A *right Clifford module* on M is defined in a similar manner. To any left Clifford module S we associate a right Clifford module $\overline{S}$, called the *dual* of S , in a natural way:

1. The vector space $\overline{S}_x$ at $x \in M$ is the dual of S_x .
2. The right action of the Clifford algebra $C(M)$ is defined in such a way that the canonical linear function $\overline{S} \otimes S \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{C}$, $\overline{s} \otimes s \mapsto \overline{s}s$ factorizes as follows:

$$\overline{S} \otimes S \rightarrow \overline{S} \otimes_{C(M)} S \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{C} \quad (15)$$

3. The grading is reversed: $\overline{S}_x^\pm$ is the dual of $S_x^\mp$.

We shall be dealing mainly with sections of bundles. Our primary concern is with the algebra

$$\mathcal{C} = \Gamma(C(M))$$

of sections of the Clifford algebra. It should be clear then that $\Gamma(S)$ is a left $\mathcal{C}$ -module while $\Gamma(\overline{S})$ is a right $\mathcal{C}$ -module. By construction, $\overline{\psi}\psi \in \Gamma$ for $\psi \in \Gamma(S)$ and $\overline{\psi} \in \Gamma(\overline{S})$. The condition (15) says that

$$(\overline{\psi} \cdot a)\psi = \overline{\psi}(a \cdot \psi) \quad a \in \mathcal{C} \quad (16)$$

which defines $\overline{\psi} \cdot a \in \Gamma(\overline{S})$ uniquely.

Let $c : T^*M \rightarrow \text{End } S$ be a spin^c -structure on M . In detail: S is some complex vector bundle of rank 2^m , called the *spinor bundle*, and the bundle map c satisfies

$$c(v)^2 + (v, v) = 0 \quad v \in T^*M. \quad (17)$$

The significance of a spin^c -structure is that the map c extends to an irreducible Clifford action on S , i.e., by the above universal property, there is a unique algebraic homomorphism $c : C(M) \rightarrow \text{End } S$ (in fact an isomorphism) compatible with (17). In other words, S is a left Clifford module with the action of $C(M)$ given by $a \cdot s = c(a)s$. We will show that S is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded and that the action of $C(M)$ respects the grading.

With dx^k some oriented orthonormal frame in T_x^*M and $\gamma^k = c(dx^k)$ we obtain the relations

$$\{\gamma^j, \gamma^k\} = -2\delta^{jk}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, 2m.$$

Generally speaking, the global operators γ^k depend on $x \in M$ and may only locally be represented by constant γ -matrices.

The notion of a spin^c -structure is the complex analogue of the notion of a (real) spin structure. It seems to be the important concept for our purpose. Not every manifold admits a spin^c -structure. The conditions for a manifold to be spin^c may be stated in terms of cohomology: (1) the first Stiefel-Whitney class vanishes (which makes M orientable) and (2) the second Stiefel-Whitney class is the mod 2 reduction of an integral class [2].

Consider the *chirality* operator $\gamma_5 = i^m \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \cdots \gamma^{2m}$ whose construction is independent of the frame. It satisfies

$$\gamma_5^2 = 1, \quad \{\gamma_5, \gamma^k\} = 0. \quad (18)$$

A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on the spinor bundle S is defined by

$$S^\pm = \{s \in S \mid \gamma_5 s = \pm s\}.$$

It is clear that the subbundles $S^\pm$ have the same rank. Since γ_5 anticommutes with γ^k , the (left) action of $C(M)$ on S respects the grading. It goes without saying that the dual spinor bundle $\bar{S}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded with a graded (right) action of $C(M)$.

Sections $\psi \in \Gamma(S)$ are referred to as *Dirac fields*. We call $\psi \in \Gamma(S^\pm)$ right-handed (+ sign) resp. left-handed (− sign) and suggestively write $\psi = \psi_R + \psi_L$ for the decomposition of $\psi \in \Gamma(S)$ into Dirac fields of definite chirality. Analogously, we write $\bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}_R + \bar{\psi}_L$ for $\bar{\psi} \in \Gamma(\bar{S})$. Since S and $\bar{S}$ carry opposite gradings,

$$\bar{\psi}\psi = \bar{\psi}_R\psi_L + \bar{\psi}_L\psi_R \in \Gamma.$$

When it comes to application in quantum field theory, we should always be aware of an important difference between the Euclidean and the Minkowski approach. In the Euclidean formulation, which is the point of view adopted here, ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ are by no means related. Quite on the contrary, since they belong to different spaces, ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ are independent variables. On the other hand, ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ are connected in the Minkowski version of operator field theory.

It is common practice to write $\not{\partial} = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$ in local coordinates. If $d\tau$ is the volume element of the manifold M , the Euclidean action S_E of a free Dirac particle of mass m reads:

$$S_E = \int_M d\tau \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} - m)\psi \quad (19)$$

Observe that $(\not{\partial}\psi)_R = \not{\partial}\psi_L$ and $(\not{\partial}\psi)_L = \not{\partial}\psi_R$ and thus

$$\bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} - m)\psi = \bar{\psi}_R i\not{\partial}\psi_R + \bar{\psi}_L i\not{\partial}\psi_L - m(\bar{\psi}_R\psi_L + \bar{\psi}_L\psi_R)$$

If $m = 0$, the action S_E is invariant under global $U(1) \times U(1)$ transformations. This symmetry referred to as *chiral invariance* is broken down to $U(1)$ by the mass term.

We may look upon $\not{D}$ as the simplest example of a Dirac operator. It has two crucial properties: (1) it is an odd operator, i.e., it maps $\Gamma(S^\pm)$ into $\Gamma(S^\mp)$, and (2) satisfies $[\not{D}, f] = c(df)$ for $f \in \Gamma$. These properties serve as the point of departure for the generalized notion of a Dirac operator. The extended concept will be very fruitful when gauge couplings to A and Yukawa couplings to L are taken into account.

5 Twisted Spinor Bundles

To incorporate the graded vector bundle $\wedge V$ of a $U(n)$ Yang-Mills-Higgs system means giving further degrees of freedom to the spinor field. The idea is then to work with the *twisted spinor bundle*

$$E = S \otimes \wedge V$$

with Clifford action $c \otimes 1$. No confusion can arise if we continue to write c in place of $c \otimes 1$. The induced grading of the bundle E is described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_+ &= E_R^+ \oplus E_L^- , & E_R^\pm &:= S^+ \otimes \wedge^\pm V \\ E_- &= E_L^+ \oplus E_R^- , & E_L^\pm &:= S^- \otimes \wedge^\pm V . \end{aligned}$$

This makes E a left Clifford module. Later on, calculations will be facilitated by decomposing the spinor field $\Psi \in \Gamma(E)$ in the following manner:

$$\Psi = \Psi_+ + \Psi_- , \quad \Psi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_R^+ \\ \psi_L^- \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(E_+) , \quad \Psi_- = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L^+ \\ \psi_R^- \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(E_-) .$$

This will then suggest a matrix representation of Dirac operators. Observe that such decompositions of fields and operators always refers to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of $\wedge V$ rather than to the grading of E .

The dual $\overline{E}$ as a right Clifford module is now well defined. To see its detailed structure we proceed in steps. Firstly, since $\wedge V$ is regarded as a trivial left Clifford module, $\overline{\wedge V}$ is a trivial right Clifford module with grading

$$\overline{\wedge V}^\pm = \wedge^\mp V^*$$

where V^* is the dual of the (ungraded) vector space V . Secondly, from

$$\overline{E} = \overline{S \otimes \wedge V} = \overline{S} \otimes \overline{\wedge V}$$

one learns that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{E}_+ &= \overline{E}_L^+ \oplus \overline{E}_R^- , & \overline{E}_R^\pm &:= \overline{S}^+ \otimes \wedge^\pm V^* \\ \overline{E}_- &= \overline{E}_R^+ \oplus \overline{E}_L^- , & \overline{E}_L^\pm &:= \overline{S}^- \otimes \wedge^\pm V^* . \end{aligned}$$

It will prove convenient to decompose the dual spinor field $\overline{\Psi} \in \Gamma(\overline{E})$ as follows:

$$\overline{\Psi} = \overline{\Psi}_+ + \overline{\Psi}_- , \quad \overline{\Psi}_+ = (\overline{\psi}_L^+ , \overline{\psi}_R^-) , \quad \overline{\Psi}_- = (\overline{\psi}_R^+ , \overline{\psi}_L^-) .$$

Suppose we want to fit the electron-neutrino system into the present framework by defining a suitable Clifford bundle E . As is appropriate for the electro-weak theory, we choose some rank 2 vector bundle V with structure group $U(2)$ as in Section 1. The rank of the graded vector bundle ΛV is four, signaling that there are four basic Dirac fields. In standard notation, these fields are e_R , e_L , ν_{eL} , and ν_{eR} . We combine them to one spinor field $\Psi \in \Gamma(E_+)$. Restriction to E_+ here means that we identify the parities in ΛV and S , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_R^+ &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eR} \\ e_R \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(E_R^+) , & E_R^+ &= S^+ \otimes \Lambda^+ V , & \Lambda^+ &= \Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda^2 \\ \psi_L^- &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL} \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(E_L^-) , & E_L^- &= S^- \otimes \Lambda^- V , & \Lambda^- &= \Lambda^1 .\end{aligned}$$

Investigating the behavior under transformations $u \in U(2)$, we find:

1. The right-handed neutrino field ν_{eR} transforms trivially because $\Lambda^0 u = 1$. In particular, its hypercharge is zero.
2. The right-handed electron field e_R transforms as an $SU(2)$ singlet, but has hypercharge -2 as follows from $\Lambda^2 u = \det u$.
3. The pair consisting of the left-handed neutrino field ν_{eL} and the left-handed electron field e_L transforms as a $SU(2)$ -doublet and has hypercharge -1 . This is a consequence of $\Lambda^1 u = u$.

Dually, if $\bar{\Psi} \in \Gamma(\bar{E}_-)$, we have that $\bar{\psi}_L^+ = \bar{\psi}_R^- = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\psi}_R^+ &= (\bar{\nu}_{eR}, \bar{e}_R) \in \Gamma(\bar{E}_R^+) , & \bar{E}_R^+ &= \bar{S}^+ \otimes \Lambda^+ V^* \\ \bar{\psi}_L^- &= (\bar{\nu}_{eL}, \bar{e}_L) \in \Gamma(\bar{E}_L^-) , & \bar{E}_L^- &= \bar{S}^- \otimes \Lambda^- V^*\end{aligned}$$

Why consider a right-handed neutrino field ν_{eR} and its dual $\bar{\nu}_{eR}$, allegedly unobserved in nature? There is an obvious answer to this question.

Both the electron and the neutrino receive their masses by the Higgs mechanism. No doubt, a fermionic action without mass terms and no right-handed neutrino field is consistent. One simply assumes that $\nu_{eR} = 0$ from the beginning. If however $m_{\nu_e} \neq 0$ after spontaneous breaking of the $U(2)$ symmetry, the neutrino receives a new degree of freedom: $\nu_{eR} \neq 0$. This additional spinorial degree of freedom cannot be created out of nothing. It can certainly not be provided by the Higgs degrees of freedom. It therefore seems reasonable to incorporate the right-handed neutrino field from the beginning.

Recent experimentally observed neutrino oscillations indicate that neutrinos may indeed be massive. To summarize our point of view, the neutrino is in no way different from the electron.

6 Dirac Operators

On a Riemannian manifold M , there is precisely one torsionfree and metric connection ∇ on the tangent bundle TM , called the *Levi-Civita connection*:

$$\nabla : \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*M \otimes TM) .$$

In local coordinates, ∇ may be written in terms of the Christoffel symbols Γ_{ij}^k :

$$\nabla = dx^i \otimes \nabla_i , \quad \nabla_i \partial_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k$$

The Levi-Civita connection induces connections on a variety of bundles which are also referred to as Levi-Civita connections. In particular, there are canonical connections on the Clifford bundle $C(M)$ and the spinor bundle S which we also denote by ∇ for simplicity. Since the spinor bundle is a $\mathcal{C}$ -module, the covariant derivative

$$\nabla : \Gamma(S) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*M \otimes S) \quad (20)$$

is defined so as to be compatible with the Levi-Civita connection of the Clifford bundle:

$$[\nabla, c(a)] = c(\nabla a) , \quad a \in \mathcal{C} . \quad (21)$$

The latter equation says that the Levi-Civita connection on S is a *Clifford connection* (which is a more general concept). Note that, in Eq. (21), ∇a is viewed as a $\mathcal{C}$ -valued one-form. Again, the Levi-Civita connection on S may locally be written in terms of the Christoffel symbols:

$$\nabla = dx^i \otimes \nabla_i , \quad \nabla_i = \partial_i + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{ij}^k \gamma^j \gamma_k , \quad \gamma^i = c(dx^i) .$$

This representation would also hold if we had started from an affine connection on TM .

Given a connection D on the superbundle ΛV , there is a natural extension⁴ to a connection $D = \nabla \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D$ on the twisted spinor bundle $E = S \otimes \Lambda V$. We then define the associated Dirac operator $\not{D}$ by means of the following composition of maps,

$$\not{D} : \Gamma(E) \xrightarrow{D} \Gamma(T^*M \otimes E) \xrightarrow{c} \Gamma(E) ,$$

so that, in local coordinates,

$$D = dx^i \otimes D_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \not{D} = \gamma^i D_i .$$

The operator $\not{D}$ on $\Gamma(E)$ thus obtained is justly called a Dirac operator. The reason is that it satisfies two fundamental conditions:

1. $\not{D}$ is an odd operator, i.e., it maps $\Gamma(E_{\pm})$ into $\Gamma(E_{\mp})$.
2. $\not{D}$ respects the Γ -module structure of $\Gamma(E)$, i.e., $[\not{D}, f] = c(df)$ for all $f \in \Gamma$.

Dirac operators are at the heart of modern Riemannian geometry [2]. They establish a close relationship between topology and curvature and provide the basis for index theorems of elliptic operators. Though Dirac was first to construct an example, the general concept is due to Atiyah and Singer [4] who also realized the vital importance of this notion in geometry.

⁴While products like $\nabla \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes D$ separately are meaningless, their sum has a meaning owing to the consistency condition $[\nabla, f] = [D, f]$ ($f \in \Gamma$).

The Dirac operator defined above does not yet include the Higgs field. However, the passage from D to $\mathcal{D}$ can be extended⁵ to superconnections $\mathcal{D} = D + L$. If L is scalar (a 0-form), the construction of the associated Dirac operator is particularly simple:

$$\mathcal{D} = D + L \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} + L = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}^+ & i\Phi^* \\ i\Phi & \mathcal{D}^- \end{pmatrix}.$$

It still obeys

$$\mathcal{D}: \Gamma(E_{\pm}) \rightarrow \Gamma(E_{\mp}).$$

What enters the fermionic part of the Euclidean action is but the restriction $\mathcal{D}: \Gamma(E_+) \rightarrow \Gamma(E_-)$:

$$S_F = \int_M d\tau \bar{\Psi} i \mathcal{D} \Psi, \quad \Psi \in \Gamma(E_+). \quad (22)$$

Writing out the integrand we get

$$\bar{\Psi} i \mathcal{D} \Psi = \bar{\psi}_R^+ i \mathcal{D}^+ \psi_R^+ + \bar{\psi}_L^- i \mathcal{D}^- \psi_L^- - \bar{\psi}_L^- \Phi \psi_R^+ - \bar{\psi}_R^+ \Phi^* \psi_L^- \quad (23)$$

While the first two terms describe the gauge part including effects of the Levi-Civita connection, the last two terms represent the Yukawa interactions. It becomes immediately obvious that the parameters h_1 and h_2 are the two relevant Yukawa coupling constants of the theory and that the condensate L_c gives rise to a mass matrix of the fermions (diagonal in the present situation).

Quantization of a chiral $U(2)$ gauge theory, however, is beset of difficulties the origin of which is the well-known *local anomaly*. Therefore, it seems unreasonable to propose the model as it stands as the basis of a consistent quantum field theory. What we have at our hand most probably is some kind of effective field theory, valid perhaps only on tree level. The deficit calls for an extension to a larger gauge group and an inclusion of further degrees of freedom (quarks). It is generally accepted that without quarks there will be no anomaly-free formulation.

7 The $U(2)$ Gauge Theory of Electrons and Neutrinos

To test the relevance of the superconnection concept for the Standard Model [5,6] we shall disregard effects coming from the Levi-Civita connection and take the flat Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^4$ as the underlying manifold M . Since the principal G bundle P then admits global sections, the bundle is trivial, $P = M \times G$, and so are all associated vector bundles like V and $\wedge V$. Intricacies of global topology are absent.

With the gauge group $U(2)$ broken down to the residual $U(1)$ group of electromagnetism the connection 1-form on V changes to the following 2×2 matrix

$$A = ig \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{1/3}}{\sqrt{1/2}} Z & \frac{\sqrt{1/2}}{1/2} W^+ \\ \sqrt{1/2} W^- & \frac{1}{2}(A^0 - \sqrt{1/3} Z) \end{pmatrix}$$

⁵In local coordinates, it means replacing dx^i by γ^i everywhere in $\mathcal{D}$.

involving three massive vector fields in addition to the massless photon field A^0 . On the exterior algebra $\wedge V$ we have the implied 4×4 representation

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{A}^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{A}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{tr } A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{A}^- = A.$$

Passage to the unitary gauge means that the Higgs degrees of freedom are reduced to a residual neutral scalar field φ :

$$L = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Phi^* \\ \Phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi = \sqrt{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_1(\varphi + v) & 0 \\ 0 & h_2(\varphi + v) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Dirac operator associated with the superconnection $\mathbb{D}$ is

$$\mathbb{D} = \not{D} + \omega, \quad \omega = \hat{A} + L. \quad (24)$$

where ω may be written as a 4×4 matrix of operators acting on the spinor space S :

$$\omega = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & h_1\sqrt{1/2}(\varphi + v) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}g(\mathcal{A}^0 + \sqrt{1/3}\mathcal{Z}) & 0 & \bar{h}_2\sqrt{1/2}(\varphi + v) \\ \bar{h}_1\sqrt{1/2}(\varphi + v) & 0 & g\sqrt{1/3}\mathcal{Z} & g\sqrt{1/2}\mathcal{W}^+ \\ 0 & h_2\sqrt{1/2}(\varphi + v) & g\sqrt{1/2}\mathcal{W}^- & \frac{1}{2}g(\mathcal{A}^0 - \sqrt{1/3}\mathcal{Z}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly, Ψ and $\bar{\Psi}$ enter $\bar{\Psi}i\mathbb{D}\Psi$ as:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eR} \\ e_R \\ \nu_{eL} \\ e_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Psi} = (\bar{\nu}_{eR}, \bar{e}_R, \bar{\nu}_{eL}, \bar{e}_L).$$

The expression $\bar{\Psi}i\omega\Psi$ incorporates all known interactions of the electron-neutrino system plus the appropriate mass term:

$-\frac{1}{2}g(\bar{e}_R\mathcal{A}^0e_R + \bar{e}_L\mathcal{A}^0e_L)$	electromagnetic interaction
$-g\sqrt{1/2}(\bar{\nu}_{eL}\mathcal{W}^-e_L + \bar{e}_L\mathcal{W}^+\nu_{eL})$	weak charged current interaction
$-\frac{1}{2}g\sqrt{1/3}(\bar{e}_R\mathcal{Z}e_R - \bar{e}_L\mathcal{Z}e_L + 2\bar{\nu}_{eL}\mathcal{Z}\nu_{eL})$	weak neutral current interaction
$-\sqrt{1/2}(h_2\bar{e}_Le_R + \bar{h}_2\bar{e}_Re_L)\varphi$	Yukawa interaction of the electron
$-\sqrt{1/2}(h_2\bar{e}_Le_R + \bar{h}_2\bar{e}_Re_L)v$	electron mass term

But it also incorporates two additional terms, relevant only if the neutrino acquires a mass, i.e., if $h_1 \neq 0$:

$-\sqrt{1/2}(\bar{h}_1\bar{\nu}_{eL}\nu_{eR} + h_1\bar{\nu}_{eR}\nu_{eL})\varphi$	Yukawa interaction of the neutrino
$-\sqrt{1/2}(\bar{h}_1\bar{\nu}_{eL}\nu_{eR} + h_1\bar{\nu}_{eR}\nu_{eL})v$	neutrino mass term

Let us summarize the positive results concerning the (bare) parameters of the model:

1. The Weinberg angle is given by $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.25$ while the measured value is $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$. This agrees rather well with the observed ratio m_W/m_Z .
2. The gauge coupling constant g and the fine structure constant α at the energy scale μ appear to be related by $(g/2)^2 = 4\pi\alpha$. Accepting $\alpha(\mu)^{-1} = 128$ leads to the prediction $g(\mu) = 0.62$, while $g(0) = 0.65$ from the muon decay and the equation $\sqrt{2} G m_W^2 = g^2/4$ relating g to the Fermi constant G .
3. The Higgs mass is predicted to be $m_H \approx 2m_W$.
4. The Higgs (self)coupling constant λ is positive and approximately $2g^2$.
5. The symmetry breaking parameter $v = \sqrt{2}r = 2m_W/g \approx 258$ GeV is rather close to the generally accepted value 246 GeV.

On the negative side we mention: the fermion masses as given by the equations

$$m_e^2 = r|h_1|^2, \quad m_{\nu_e}^2 = r|h_2|^2$$

render the absurd relation

$$m_e^2 + m_{\nu_e}^2 = r(|h_1|^2 + |h_2|^2) = \frac{1}{2}rg^2 = m_W^2. \quad (25)$$

We figure there must be something fundamentally wrong with the assumption that there exist an electroweak theory of leptons alone. Conclusion: quarks and leptons ought to be regarded as entities of the same kind described by a common field Ψ , transforming according to some (possibly reducible) representation of a larger gauge group. Outlook: we shall in a forthcoming paper present a formulation describing all fermions of three generations. The relation (25) will be generalized so as to include all fermion masses since the right hand side involves the trace of M^*M with M the mass matrix of the fermions. Relations connecting fermion and boson masses are typical ingredients of a superconnection model.

References

1. G. Roepstorff, *Superconnections and the Higgs Field*, hep-th/9801040
2. H.B. Lawson and M.-L. Michelsohn: *Spin Geometry*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1989
3. N. Berline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne: *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Springer, Berlin 1996
4. M.F. Atiyah and I.M. Singer, Ann.Math. **87**, 484 (1968); **87**, 546 (1968); **93**, 119 (1971); **93**, 139 (1971)
5. S. Weinberg: *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol.II: Modern Applications*. Cambridge University Press 1996
6. F. Scheck: *Electroweak and Strong Interactions*, 2nd Ed., Springer 1996